© Pearson Education, Inc., or its affiliates. All rights reserved.



ıS

ır

e

ng, k

## Argument Rubric

Focus and Organization	Evidence and Elaboration	Conventions
The introduction engages the reader and establishes a claim in a compelling way.	The sources of evidence are comprehensive and specific and contain relevant information.	The argument intentionally uses standard English conventions of usage and mechanics.
The argument includes valid reasons and evidence that address and support the claim while clearly acknowledging counterclaims.  The ideas progress logically, and transitions make connections among ideas clear.	The tone of the argument is always formal and objective.  The vocabulary is always	
	appropriate for the audience and purpose.	
The conclusion offers fresh insight into the claim.		
The introduction engages the reader and establishes the claim.	The sources of evidence contain relevant information.	gument is objective.  generally  general accuracy in standard English conventions of usage and mechanics.
The argument includes reasons and evidence that address and support the claim while acknowledging	The tone of the argument is mostly formal and objective.  The vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose.	
counterclaims.  The ideas progress logically, and some transitions are used to help make		
connections among ideas clear.  The conclusion restates the claim and important information.		
The introduction establishes a claim.	The sources of evidence contain some relevant information.  The tone of the argument is occasionally formal and objective.	The argument demonstrates some accuracy in standard English conventions of usage and mechanics.
The argument includes some reasons and evidence that address and support the claim while briefly acknowledging		
counterclaims.  The ideas progress somewhat logically.		
A few sentence transitions are used that connect readers to the argument.	The vocabulary is somewhat appropriate for the audience and purpose.	
The conclusion offers some insight into the claim and restates information.		
The introduction does not clearly state the claim.	Reliable and relevant evidence is not included.	The argument contains mistakes in standard English conventions of usage and mechanics.
The argument does not include reasons or evidence for the claim. No counterclaims are acknowledged.	The vocabulary used is limited or ineffective.	
The ideas do not progress logically. Transitions are not included to connect ideas.	The tone of the argument is not objective or formal.	
The conclusion does not restate any information that is important.		